

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE U	PAGE OF PAGES 1   2	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. U0001	3. EFFECTIVE DATE 06-Jul-2004	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY USA CECOM ACQUISITION CTR-WASHINGTON AMSEL-AC-W 2461 EISENHOWER AVE. ALEXANDRIA VA 22331	CODE W909MY	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than item 6) <b>See Item 6</b>		CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., Street, County, State and Zip Code)			X	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W909MY-04-R-0010	
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) 22-Jun-2004	
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.	
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)	
CODE	FACILITY CODE				
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offer <input type="checkbox"/> is extended, <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> is not extended. Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning <u>1</u> copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.					
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)					
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.					
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.					
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).					
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:					
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)					
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor <input type="checkbox"/> is not, <input type="checkbox"/> is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.					
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) This amendment is issued to include the Past Performance Questionnaire, Attachment #1, which was inadvertently omitted from the original RFP and to include a provision to allow for site visits to view a 2kW MTG at Fort Belvoir, VA. See page 2 for details.					
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.					
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)			16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
			TEL: _____ EMAIL: _____		
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		16C. DATE SIGNED	
_____ (Signature of person authorized to sign)		BY _____ (Signature of Contracting Officer)			

## SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

**SUMMARY OF CHANGES**

## SECTION J - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACHMENTS

Section J, List Of Documents, Exhibits And Other Attachments, has been modified to include the following:

Attachment/Exhibit	Description/Title	Number of Pages
"Attachment 1	Past Performance Questionnaire	8"

## SECTION L - INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS AND NOTICES TO BIDDERS

The following have been added by full text:

"L.16 Site Visit.

Offerors may view an operating 2kW MTG at the CECOM Research, Development and Engineering Center located at Fort Belvoir, VA. All visits must be by appointment. Appointments may be made by contacting Dr. James Ferrick at 703-704-2353 or via email at [james.ferrick@armypower.army.mil](mailto:james.ferrick@armypower.army.mil). Site visits may be scheduled between 8:00 AM and 3:00 PM, Monday-Friday through 21 July 2004. The 2kW MTG operation will be demonstrated but no questions will be answered during the site visit. Questions must be submitted in accordance with the instructions provided in paragraph L.13 of the RFP."

As a result of this amendment to proposal due date and time remains unchanged.

(End of Summary of Changes)

SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

Past Performance Questionnaire

Your assistance is requested by the CECOM Acquisition Center-Washington to assist with establishing the performance history for the contractor named below. Please complete this questionnaire and fax to 703-428-1620. Hard copies can be mailed to CECOM Acquisition Center - Washington, ATTN: AMSEL-AC-WB (A. Trimmier), 2461 Eisenhower Ave, Rm. 1126, Alexandria, VA 22331-0700.

I. Solicitation Data.

Solicitation Number	W909MY-04-R-0010
Program	Product Improvements for the 2 kW Military Tactical Generator (MTG)
Customer/Agency	US Army CERDEC) Command and Control Directorate, Army Power Division, Power Generation Branch
Program Description	<p>Advanced research and development effort to provide product improvements to the Army's currently fielded lightweight man-portable 2 kW military tactical generator (MTG) while also concurrently accelerating the research and development on the MTG's successor.</p> <p>In all cases, simplicity, durability and ruggedness are desired characteristics of any modifications directed at product improvements. Technologies and investigations with well defined approaches which are applicable to improving the current 2 kW MTG and/or to accelerating the research on the MTG's successor will be considered. A general purpose successor must be able to start and operate, to full rated capacity, using JP-8, DF-1 and DF-2 fuels, as a minimum.</p> <p>The effort shall be directed at providing product improvements to the Army's currently fielded lightweight man-portable 2 kW military tactical generator (MTG) while also concurrently accelerating the research and development on the MTG's successor. This Statement of Work (SOW) defines the general areas of tasks that may be undertaken, data to be prepared, and performance objectives for the research and development of product improvements for the 2 kW MTG. Efforts may focus on making improvements in the following topical areas: Acoustic Signature; Weight; Reliability and Maintainability; Fuel Consumption; Power Quality; Deployability and Survivability. As noted above, any product improvements initiated must be able to clearly demonstrate operationally significant benefits by improving on the characteristics exhibited by the present version of the 2 kW MTG; these benefits shall aim to meet or exceed the Product Improvement Program and/or 2 kW MTG Successor thresholds and objectives listed in Table 1, where the baseline system represents the current 2 kW MTG. It is recognized that not all of the objectives may be attainable, and no offer is required to address all of the objectives.</p> <p>While not explicitly listed in table 1, significantly reducing or eliminating the tendency "to wetstack" during extended operation at low loads is also desired.</p>

**II. Contract Information.**

Please confirm the historical performance data submitted by the offeror:  correct

<b>CONTRACTOR NAME &amp; ADDRESS:</b>	<b>Contract No</b>			
	<b>Type of Contract</b>			
		<i>Initial</i>	<i>Final</i>	
	<b>Contract Value</b>			
	<b>Period of Performance/Delivery Schedule</b>			
	<i>Please select from the following as it applies to this contract:</i>			
	<b>Contracting Role</b>	PRIME	SUBCONTRACTOR	
	<b>Termination History</b>	Convenience	Default	N/A

**III. Evaluator Information.**

<b>Evaluator Name</b>		
<b>Title</b>		
<b>Phone Number</b>		
<i>Identify your role in the contract award or administration and the period of your involvement.</i>		
✓	Role	Period of Involvement
	Procuring Contracting Officer (PCO)	
	Administrative Contracting Officer (ACO)	
	KO's Technical Representative (COR)	
	Technical Project/Program Leader	
	Project Manager Office Representative	
	Quality Assurance	
	DCAA/Auditor	
	Other:	

**IV. Evaluation Definitions.**

The following definitions should be used in your assessment of contractor performance.

EXCEPTIONAL	Performance <u>EXCEEDS MOST</u> contractual requirements to the Government's benefit. The performance of areas being assessed was accomplished with few minor issues or concerns.
VERY GOOD	Performance <u>EXCEEDS SOME</u> contractual requirements to the Government's benefit. The performance of areas being assessed was accomplished with few minor issues or concerns for which contractor's corrective actions were highly effective.
SATISFACTORY	Performance <u>MEETS</u> contractual requirements. The performance of the areas being assessed contains minor issues or concerns for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.
UNSATISFACTORY	Performance <u>DOES NOT MEET</u> contractual requirement. The performance of the areas being assessed contains serious problems, issues, or concerns for which the contractor's corrective actions were ineffective.
N/A	Not Applicable. Unable to provide assessment.

**V. Performance Evaluation.**

1. Technical Performance

a. What is your OVERALL assessment of the contractor's ability to meet the technical requirements?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
<b>Technical Performance</b>					

*Please provide rationale for the assigned rating.*


SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

b. Assess the contractor's technical performance in the following areas.

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Design					
Fabrication					
Integration					
Testing					
Documentation					

*All comments are appreciated, however, at a minimum, rationale must be provided ratings of exceptional or unsatisfactory.*


c. Did the Government contribute in any way to any of the technical problems identified in the above assessment? Please explain.


2. Schedule Performance

a. What is your OVERALL assessment of the contractor's ability to meet the schedule?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
<b>Schedule Performance</b>					

*Please provide rationale for assigned rating.*


SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

b. Assess the contractor's schedule performance in the following areas.

Schedule Performance	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
Hardware					
Data Items					
Assess the contractor's ability to resolve Schedule Problems					

*All comments are appreciated, however, at a minimum, rationale must be provided ratings of exceptional or unsatisfactory.*


c. Did the Government contribute in any way to any of the technical problems identified in the above assessment? Please explain.


3. Management

a. What is your OVERALL assessment of the contractor's management performance?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
<b>Management</b>					

*Please provide rationale for rating.*


SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

b. Assess the contractor's management performance in the following areas.

Management	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
MANAGEMENT RESPONSIVENESS— Timeliness, completeness, and quality of problem identification, proposal submittal, history of cooperative behavior, effective business relations, teamwork AND customer satisfaction.					
SUBCONTRACT MANAGEMENT— Timely award and management of subcontracts.					
PROGRAM MANAGEMENT— Effectiveness of integration and coordination of all activities required to execute the contract, use of resources, assignment of responsibility, internal coordination and communication and risk management practices.					
MANAGEMENT OF PERSONNEL—Ability to select, retain, support and replace personnel with the experience and expertise necessary to accomplish the Government's requirements within schedule and budget.					

*All comments are appreciated, however, at a minimum, rationale must be provided ratings of exceptional or unsatisfactory.*


SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

c. Did the Government contribute in any way to any of the technical problems identified in the above assessment? Please explain.


4. Cost Control

a. What is your OVERALL assessment of the contractor’s ability to forecast, manage and control costs?

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
<b>Cost Control</b>					

*Please provide rationale for rating*


b. Assess the contractor’s cost performance in the following areas.

	Exceptional	Very Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
COST REPORTING— Accuracy and timeliness of the contractor’s cost reporting data.					
NOTIFICATIONS— Timeliness of the contractor’s notifications of costs as required by the Limitation of Cost/Funds Clauses.					
RESOLUTION OF COST PROBLEMS— Commitment to resolve cost issues—may include utilizing principles such as Cost as an Independent Variable (CAIV)					

SOURCE SELECTION SENSITIVE

ADHERENCE TO COST TARGETS— Ability to <u>establish</u> and <u>meet</u> the realistic cost targets.					
SUBCONTRACT COST MANAGEMENT— Ability to forecast, negotiate and control subcontract costs					

*All comments are appreciated, however, at a minimum, rationale must be provided ratings of exceptional or unsatisfactory.*


**VI. Overall Rating of contractor (technical, management and cost) performance of contract being assessed.**

<b>Exceptional</b>	<b>Very Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>

**VII. General Comments:**


\_\_\_\_\_  
Evaluator's Signature

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date